UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MICHELE FANEAN,	
PLAINTIFF,	C.A. NO.
V.	
RITE AID CORPORATION OF DELAWARE, INC.,	
DEFENDANT.	

NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1446

TO: The Honorable Judges of the United States District Court In and For the District of Delaware 844 N. King Street Room 4209 Lock Box 18 Wilmington, DE 19801

Defendant Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc., by and through its attorney respectfully aver as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff originally commenced a civil action against Rite Aid Corporation in the Superior Court of Delaware in and for New Castle County. See original Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 2. The Complaint, being the original process in this case was served on Rite Aid Corporation as of August 12, 2008. See Exhibit "B".
- 3. On August 25, 2008, an Amended Complaint was filed by the plaintiff naming a separate corporate entity, Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc. as the appropriate party defendant. See Exhibit "C".
 - 4. Accordingly, this Notice of Removal was timely filed within thirty (30) days of

both the initial pleading being served against Defendant Rite Aid Corporation as well as within thirty (30) days of the efiling of an Amended Complaint against Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446 (b).

- 5. In the Amended Complaint, it is averred that defendant acted through an agent, servant or employee revealed personal, private, sensitive and highly confidential medical details in violation of the Health Information Privacy Act found at 42 U.S.C. § 1320 et. Seq. (HIPAA).
- 6. It furthermore goes on to aver that violation of HIPAA constitutes negligence per se.
- 7. Congress in creating HIPAA did not provide for private enforcement of the statute, limiting same, to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. See 42 U.S.C. § 1320(d-1,d-5, d-6). Congress has preempted the field relative to a cause of action stemming from a violation of HIPAA.
- 8. As such, the instant matter poses a federal question in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331 setting forth the fact that District Court shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States.
- 9. Federal law has preempted the field specifically limiting enforcement of the statute to the Secretary of Health and Human Services.
- 10. Defendant Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc. is represented by the firm of Robinson, Grayson, Dryden & Ward and consents to the removal to the litigation to the United States District Court.

WHEREFORE. Defendants pray that the above captioned action now pending in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County be removed to this Honorable Court.

Robinson Grayson Dryden & Ward, P.A.

Stephen F. Dryden, Esquire

Bar I.D. No. 2157

910 Foulk Road, Suite 200

Wilmington, DE 19803

(302) 655-6262

Attorney for Defendant

Dated: September 2, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MICHELE FANEAN,	
PLAINTIFF,	C.A. NO.
V.	
RITE AID CORPORATION OF DELAWARE, INC.,	
DEFENDANT.	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen F. Dryden, of Robinson Grayson Dryden & Ward, P.A., do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal to Federal Court Pursuant to 28 U.S. C. § 1446 was served via U.S. Mail on this 29th day of August, 2008 upon the following:

James F. Bailey, Jr., Esquire Three Mill Road, Suite 306A Wilmington, DE 19806

> Stephen F. Drydeh (Bar I.D. No. 2157

EXHIBIT

A

EFiled: Jul 17 2008 4:14P EDI Transaction ID 20692005 Case No. 08C-07-151 JOH

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

MICHELE FANEAN,

\$ C.A. NO.:

Plaintiff,

v.

RITE AID CORPORATION,

Defendant.

S

TRIAL BY JURY OF 12 DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff is Michele Fanean, a resident of New Castle County, Delaware at all times relevant hereto.
- 2. The Defendant, Rite Aid Corporation, is a corporation of the State of Delaware with pharmacies located throughout the United States and, more particularly, owns and operates a store containing a pharmacy at 2713 Philadelphia Pike, Claymont, Delaware 19703. The registered agent is the Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc.
- 3. Plaintiff has been a customer of the Rite Aid Pharmacy located at 2713 Philadelphia Pike, Claymont, Delaware 19703 (the "Rite Aid Pharmacy") for a number of years. She has had prescriptions called in and filled at that location on numerous occasions.
- 4. In either late April or early May, 2008, an agent, servant or employee of the Rite Aid Pharmacy named Alexis Chessen, provided detailed information about medications that Plaintiff was taking as well as providing detailed information about medical conditions that she did have and does have at the present time. In addition, this information was disseminated to various members of the public including members of Plaintiff's family.

- 5. The information communicated by Chessen, acting as agent, servant or employee of the Rite Aid Pharmacy and Rite Aid Corporation, was personal, private, sensitive and highly confidential.
- 6. Revelation of the details of various medicines, prescriptions and physical conditions of the Plaintiff by the Rite Aid employee was a violation of the Health Information Privacy Act found at 42 U.S.C. §1320 et. seq. (HIPAA). Chessen acted as agent, servant or employee of Rite Aid Corporation, who is vicariously liable under the theory of respondent superior knowingly obtained and disclosed individually identifiable health information in violation of the act otherwise known as The Administrative Simplification Regulations as well as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.
- 7. Violation of HIPAA and its attendant regulations constitutes negligence <u>per se</u>. The violation of HIPAA and its attendant regulations Defendant proximately and directly caused damages to the Plaintiff. Those damages include, but are not limited to, emotional distress, embarrassment, public humiliation, psychological stress and other related damages.
- 8. The actions of the Defendant, acting through its agents, servants or employees constitutes, inter alia, negligent and/or intentional infliction of emotional distress directly and proximately causing damage to Plaintiff. The medical information and records communicated by Rite Aid through Chessen was provided to third parties without consent of the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant along with an award of prejudgment and post-judgment interests, costs and attorneys' fees.

THE BAILEY LAW FIRM

/s/ James F. Bailey, Jr.

JAMES F. BAILEY, JR., ESQUIRE
Bar I.D. # 336

Email: jbailey@jfbailey.com
Three Mill Road, Suite 306A
Wilmington, DE 19806
(302) 658-5686

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: July 17, 2008

EXHIBIT

В

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

Served: RITE AID CORPORATION

By serving their R/A PHCS INC. by delivering a copy of the within writ together with a copy of the Summons and Complaint to MARY DRUMMOND., of the said registered agent on 8/12/2008 at 11:18AM.

Fees Paid: \$30.00

Civil Action # 08C-07-151

Per: Deputy Sheriff, Clarence Everett

SO ANS; SHERIFF

WRITRET. 8-14-08

PER Doreen Simmons

Document # 08013918

EXHIBIT

C

EFiled: Aug 25 2008 4:47
Transaction ID 21219026
Case No. 08C-07-151 JOH

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

MICHELE FANEAN,	§	
	§	C.A. NO.: 08C-07-151 JOH
Plaintiff,	§	
•	§	
V.	§	
	§	
RITE AID CORPORATION OF	§	
DELAWARE, INC.,	§	TRIAL BY JURY OF 12 DEMANDED
	§	
Defendant.	§	

AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff is Michele Fanean, a resident of New Castle County, Delaware at all times relevant hereto.
- 2. The Defendant, Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc., is a corporation of the State of Delaware with pharmacies located throughout the United States and, more particularly, owns and operates a store containing a pharmacy at 2713 Philadelphia Pike, Claymont, Delaware 19703. The registered agent is the Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc.
- 3. Plaintiff has been a customer of the Rite Aid Pharmacy located at 2713 Philadelphia Pike, Claymont, Delaware 19703 (the "Rite Aid Pharmacy") for a number of years. She has had prescriptions called in and filled at that location on numerous occasions.
- 4. In either late April or early May, 2008, an agent, servant or employee of the Rite Aid Pharmacy named Alexis Chessen, provided detailed information about medications that Plaintiff was taking as well as providing detailed information about medical conditions that she did have and does have at the present time. In addition, this information was disseminated to various members of the public including members of Plaintiff's family.

- 5. The information communicated by Chessen, acting as agent, servant or employee of the Rite Aid Pharmacy and Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc., was personal, private, sensitive and highly confidential.
- 6. Revelation of the details of various medicines, prescriptions and physical conditions of the Plaintiff by the Rite Aid employee was a violation of the Health Information Privacy Act found at 42 U.S.C. §1320 et. seq. (HIPAA). Chessen acted as agent, servant or employee of Rite Aid Corporation of Delaware, Inc., who is vicariously liable under the theory of respondent superior knowingly obtained and disclosed individually identifiable health information in violation of the act otherwise known as The Administrative Simplification Regulations as well as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.
- 7. Violation of HIPAA and its attendant regulations constitutes negligence <u>per se</u>. The violation of HIPAA and its attendant regulations Defendant proximately and directly caused damages to the Plaintiff. Those damages include, but are not limited to, emotional distress, embarrassment, public humiliation, psychological stress and other related damages.
- 8. The actions of the Defendant, acting through its agents, servants or employees constitutes, inter alia, negligent and/or intentional infliction of emotional distress directly and proximately causing damage to Plaintiff. The medical information and records communicated by Rite Aid through Chessen was provided to third parties without consent of the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant along with an award of prejudgment and post-judgment interests, costs and attorneys' fees.

THE BAILEY LAW FIRM

/s/ James F. Bailey, Jr.

JAMES F. BAILEY, JR., ESQUIRE
Bar I.D. # 336

Email: jbailey@jfbailey.com
Three Mill Road, Suite 306A
Wilmington, DE 19806
(302) 658-5686
Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: August 25, 2008

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS		
Michele Fanean		1	ation of Delaware, Inc.	
(b) County of Residence (E.	<u> </u>	County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAND IN LAND IN LAND IN Attorneys (If Known) Stephen F. Dryde Wilmington, DE III. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only) PI Citizen of This State	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, USINVOLVED. en, Esquire - 910 Foul 19803 (302) 655-6262	E THE LOCATION OF THE k Road, Suite 200, Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
Detendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI			BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	t Slander 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability Liability PERSONAL PROPER 345 Marine Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage 385	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 1 Original 🗷 2 R	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth	sferred from	n Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIVII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Preemption of attempt to site HI	PAA as basis tor private	cause of action	y if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE Jermom	e O. Herlihy	DOCKET NUMBER 0	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- Of C	a. Jud		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

AO EODM 65 DECERT (DEV. 0/04)				
AO FORM 85 RECEIPT (REV. 9/04) United States Dist	trict Court for the Distric	ct of Delaware		
	Civil Action No	08-553		
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85 NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION				
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIP	T OF CO	OPIES OF AO FORM 85.		
(Date forms issued)	(Signature of Party o	or their Representative)		
	(Printed name of Party	y or their Representative)		

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action